City of Hawaiian Gardens Single Audit Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2021



Single Audit Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Award Programs June 30, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	10



Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawaiian Gardens Hawaiian Gardens, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise City of Hawaiian Gardens' basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 21, 2022.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City of Hawaiian Gardens' internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Hawaiian Gardens' internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City of Hawaiian Gardens' internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawaiian Gardens Page Two

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City of Hawaiian Gardens' financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed one instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying *schedule of findings and questioned costs* as item 2021-01.

City's Response to Finding

The City's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Gruber and Lopez, Inc. Gruber and lopez, Inc. Newport Beach, California March 21, 2022



Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawaiian Gardens Hawaiian Gardens, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2021. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawaiian Gardens Page Two

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawaiian Gardens Page Three

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and have issued our report thereon dated March 21, 2022, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Gruber and Lopez, Inc. Gruber and lopez, Inc. Newport Beach, California March 21, 2022

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2021

Federal Grantor/ Pass-through Grantor/Program	Federal Domestic Assistance Listing	Program Identification Number	Federal Award Expenditures	Payments To Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development:				
Direct Program:				
Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers / Housing Voucher Cluster	14.871	CA136	\$ 1,323,849	
Covid 19 - HCV CARES Act Funding	14.871 HCV	CA136	149,346	
Subtotal - Assistance Listing 14.871			1,473,195	
Passed through the County of Los Angeles - Community Development	Commission:			
Community Development Block Grants/ Entitlement Grants Cluster:				
Street Improvements	14.218	70727	180,043	
Neighborhood Clean Up	14.218	70727	25,024	
Small Business Grant	14.218	70727	93,412	
Covid 19 - CARES Acr- Senior Meals	14.218	70727	47,061	
Subtotal - Assistance Listing 14.218			345,540	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Developmen	t		1,818,735	_
	•			
U.S. Department of Treasury:				
Passed through State of California- Department of Finance:				
Covid 19 - Corona Virus Relief Fund	21.019	-	180,873	
Total U.S.Treasury			180,873	-
U.S. Donartment of Hameland Security.				
U.S. Department of Homeland Security:				
Passed through State of California- OES:				
Covid 19 - Disaster gants - Public Assistance	97.036	037-32506-00	124,001	
Total U.S.Homeland Security			124,001	
U.S. Department of Agriculture:				
Passed through State of California- Department of Education-Nutritio	n Division:			
Summer Food Service Program for Children / Child Nutrition Cluster		CNIPS 4002-SFSP-19	36,939	
Total U.S.Agriculture			36,939	_
Total Cionigi Caltaire			30,737	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services:				
Passed through Southeast Los Angeles County- Work Investment Boar	rd:			
WIOA Adult Program / WIOA Cluster	17.258	106008	342,498	
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			342,498	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 2,503,046	

^{*} Denotes major program.

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

June 30, 2021

(1) <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of</u> Federal Awards

The financial reporting entity, as defined by Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB), consists of the primary government, which is the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (City), entities for which the primary government is financially accountable, and other entities for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete.

The City Council acts as the governing body and is able to impose its will on the following entities by establishing financial accountability:

- Hawaiian Gardens Public Financing Authority
- Housing Authority of the City of Hawaiian Gardens

(a) Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Hawaiian Gardens (City) that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal agencies providing financial assistance. For purposes of this schedule, financial assistance includes both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency and expended, as well as federal funds received indirectly by City of Hawaiian Gardens' from a non-federal agency and expended. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds are reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenses in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of program expenses that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

(b) Basis of Accounting

Funds received under the various grant programs have been recorded within the general fund and special revenue funds of the City. The City utilizes the modified accrual basis of accounting for governmental funds. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Office of Management and Budget Uniform Grant Guidance).

(c) De Minimus Indirect Cost Rate

The City elected to use the 10% de minimus indirect cost rate for the year ended June 30, 2021, however, no indirect cost rates were charged to any federal programs.

(d) Subrecipients

There were no payments to subrecipients for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2021

Summary of Auditors' Results

- A) Findings Related to the Financial Statements which are Required to be Reported in Accordance with GAGAS
 - 1. An unmodified report was issued by the auditors on the financial statements of the auditee.
 - 2. There were no material weaknesses in internal control nor were there any significant deficiencies.
 - 3. The audit disclosed one instance of noncompliance which is material to the financial statements of the auditee which is described in the accompanying *schedule of findings and questioned costs* as item 2021-01.
- (B) Findings and Questioned Costs for Federal Awards as Defined in 2 CFR 200.515(d)
 - 4. There were no material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over federal major programs of the auditee.
 - 5. An unmodified report was issued by the auditors on compliance for federal major programs.
 - 6. The audit disclosed no audit findings of federal awards required by the auditors to be reported under 2 CFR 200.515(d)
 - 7. The major federal program of the auditee was the: (1) CFDA#14.871 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers/ Housing Voucher Cluster.
 - 8. The dollar threshold used to distinguish Type A and Type B programs was \$750,000 under 2 CFR 200.518 (b) (1).
 - 9. The auditee was considered a low risk auditee as defined by 2 CFR 200.518 for the year ended June 30, 2021 for purposes of determining major programs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2021

2021-01 Remittance to County of Proceeds from Successor Agency Property Sales

Criteria: Proceeds from the sale of properties, identified in the Successor Agency's State-Approved Long Range Property Management Plan with a recommendation to sell, are required to be remitted to the County of Los Angeles in order to be disbursed to the affected taxing agencies as part of the dissolution process of the former redevelopment agency to the City of Hawaiian Gardens.

Condition: The Successor Agency sold multiple properties in prior years; however, as of June 30, 2021, the proceeds had not yet been remitted to the County. Furthermore, appraisals were not done on the properties before the sales took place.

Cause: Successor Agency is working to ensure the sale proceeds are utilized and/or allocated in accordance with any legal restrictions on the use of the proceeds.

Effect: There is a delay in the affected taxing agencies receiving their allocation of the sales proceeds.

Recommendation: We recommend the Successor Agency resolve the property sale proceeds issue with the California Department of Finance. It should be noted that a liability was booked for the entire sales proceeds in the Successor Agency's Private Purpose Trust fund. We further recommend that appraisals be performed on future properties planning to be sold and that the appraisals be included in the City Councils' approval resolution.

Management's Response: The City has not submitted the monies from the sale of the properties pending a review of the recommendations contained in the Long-Range Property Management Plan. City records indicate the subject properties were acquired by the former redevelopment agency for affordable housing purposes, and the Successor Agency is working to ensure the sale proceeds are utilized and/or allocated in accordance with any legal restrictions on the use of the proceeds. This matter has been brought to the attention of the California Department of Finance, and resolution is still pending. Final accounting of these funds will reflect any resolution reached with the Department of Finance. When the review of the recommendations is completed and/or resolved with the Department of Finance, the City will distribute funds as appropriate.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year (June 30, 2020) Audit Findings

Year ended June 30, 2021

2020-01 Remittance to County of Proceeds from Successor Agency Property Sales

Prior Year Finding: The Successor Agency sold multiple properties during the course of current year and previous years; however, as of June 30, 2021, the proceeds had not yet been remitted to the County.

Current Year Results: Finding was not resolved and was repeated in the current year accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-01.